

Rapid Update Cycle Activities at Hungarian Met Service (HMS) (Present and the Future)

Mate Mile





In this talk...

- 3h RUC versus 6h assimilation cycle
 - Observation cut-off
 - Control noise accumulation
 - Background error statistics
 - Forecast skills
- Outlook towards hourly RUC, questions

AROME DA at Hungary

- 3h Rapid Update Cycle
 (8 3DVAR analyses per a day)
- No surface assimilation
 SURFEX LFI: ALADIN anal &
 AROME surface guess
- Background error statistics: AROME-EDA
- LBCs from IFS global model with Hourly coupling frequency
- Recently only conventional obs. are used

SYNOP	Z	T2	H2	U
TEMP	Z	Т	Q	U
AIREP		Т		U



AROME 3h RUC Observation cut-off

Number of used conventional observation (3h RUC vs. 6h):

Mainly SYNOP and AMDAR observations are gained with 3h RUC \rightarrow

No significant increase of radiosonde reports (expect a few at 03UTC)

- Estimation of the optimal short cut-off time:
 - incoming time of conventional observations into AROME integration domain
 - At 00UTC 26th(red columns), 27th(green columns) and 28th(blue columns) of January 2013.





AROME 3h RUC Control noise accum.

- Initialzation is disabled in AROME to not distort small scales
- Therefore in such system the accumulation of noises has to be taken into account
- For 3h RUC space-consistent coupling has been found sufficient to avoid noise accumulation.
- For 1h RUC the coupling strategy and initialization have to be reassessed.





AROME 3h RUC Background errors

- The best estimate of background error statistics is crucial
- AROME EDA 3h forecast differences were used to derive climatological B
- In Hungary AROME EDA based B matrix was calculated which corrects more the smaller scales and has more localized length-scales compared to downscaled EDA B matrix
- AROME short-range forecasts are significantly improved using better structure functions i.e. AROME EDA B

(Downscaled EDA Bmatrix vs. AROME EDA Bmatrix)





Forecast skills

AROME DA 6h - Red AROME DYNA - Green AROME 3h RUC - Blue

- The impact of the use of more conventional observations
- 3h RUC vs. 6h (also vs. dynamical adaptation)
- Slightly better RMSE, BIAS scores, mainly for wind speed and MSLP (neutral for temperature and humidity).



Forecast skills

AROME DA 6h - Red AROME DYNA - Green AROME 3h RUC - Blue

- In verification against RADAR precipitation objects the 3h RUC has better performance than 6h
- Domain average precipitation is a bit closer to RADAR obs at daytime hours (upper figure →)
- Average intensity of objects are also better represented (lower figure →)





Outlook – Hourly RUC

- Aim:
 - to improve AROME analysis and forecast
 - to provide warning forecasts from AROME subsynoptic analyses and forecasts
 - to provide post-processed AROME forecasts for special end-users who are interested

But there are several challenges...







Questions Observations

- Do we have enough observation to ensure sufficient observation constraint in 3DVAR at every network time?
- What is the observation loss with hourly updated analysis cycle?
- How long cut-off should be used for hourly RUC?
- Is it possible to speed-up observation pre-processing to get more observations in short cut-off? Is it possible to do this with OPLACE or with COPE?
- What kind of observations should we consider in an hourly RUC?
 - Conventional SYNOP, AMDAR, TEMP
 - RADAR reflectivity and radial wind
 - GNSS ZTD
 - Mode-S MRAR and EHS
 - Meteosat products, SEVIRI, AMVs (Geowind, HRW)
 - Polar satellite radiances in long cut-off analyses
 - Else?



Questions Observations - RADAR

- What is the best approach to assimilate RADAR reflectivity? 1D+3DVAR, Nudging, else?
- Is it possible to assimilate successfully reflectivity with the current incremental variational approach and its control variables? (non-linear relationship and tangent-linear approximation of H) Was it studied by someone?



Questions Initialization

- Do we need initialization for 1h RUC?
- If initialization is needed which technique provides the best solution for that?
- What is the optimal strategy for initial LBC coupling? How this should harmonize with initialization?
- Should we treat hydrometeors differently in 1h RUC than 3h or 6h assim cycling?



Questions Background errors

- The impact of using 3h or 6h forecast ranges for B matrix is weak. Is it true for comparing 1h and 3h as well?
- In other words can we use the climatological structure functions sampled from 3h forecast differences or not for 1h 3DVAR RUC?
- Can we get mature energy and variance spectra from 1h forecast differences?
- Can 3DVAR RUC be successful with climatological B or not? If not, what kind of opportunities we have to use flow-dependency in background error statistics and how much work is needed for the realization?





Questions LBC coupling

- What is the optimal LBC coupling frequency in an hourly updated assimilation cycle? One hour frequency or higher?
- Can we use "old" LBC from global model updated only 4 times in a day? Is there a need for more frequently updated LBCs?





Long-term

- The variational data assimilation approach will ensure the future way of research in ALADIN
- Flow-dependency is very important:
 - Hybrid 3DVAR
 - Grid-point sigmaB maps
- Nudging method for RADAR assimilation
- Cloud and Hydrometeor analysis, DFI with reflectivity assimilation?
- Observation error covariance matrix
- Take into account large-scale information: blending, Jk method
- More observations:
 - GPS slant, refractivity
 - AMDAR humidity
 - MTG radiances
 - Cloudy radiances

